

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5411 of 2017
Date of decision : 15.03.2018

Satnam Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Onkar Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Suminderdeep Kaur, Advocate for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Wife-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order fixing the maintenance pendente lite at ₹7,000/- per month.

It is admitted fact that the husband-respondent is employed in the Punjab Police and drawing a net salary of ₹36,000/- in hand.

Although, learned counsel for the husband-respondent has submitted that he is also maintaining his mother, however, learned counsel for the wife has read over the statement of the witness who appeared on behalf of the husband before the trial Court, that the mother is drawing a family pension. Hence, it cannot be said that the mother is financially dependent upon the respondent-husband.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid fact, this Court is of the view that the maintenance pendente lite granted by the trial Court is not

commensurate with the income of the husband. This Court feels that ends of justice would be met, if the wife is granted the maintenance pendente lite at the rate of ₹12,500/- per month from the date of the application.

In view of the above, the impugned order is modified.

Revision petition is allowed.

15.03.2018

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No