

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CR-5324-2018

Date of decision : 24.08.2018

Baldev Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Gurcharan Singh and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to notice issued, Mr.Karamjit Singh Chahal, Advocate, appears for respondent no.1 and Mr.Kulbir Singh Sekhon appears for respondent no.2 and have both filed their respective powers of attorney, which are taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondent no.1 submits that the said respondent being the only contesting respondent, he has no objection if the amendment sought by the petitioners in the suit is allowed, subject to payment of costs, to the extent of correction of the number of the sale deed to read as vasika no. 1242 instead of vasika no.6027, with the sale deed numbering vasika no. 1242 executed in favour of the vendee, i.e. Jaswinder Kaur wife of Hardeep Singh son of Darshan Singh (instead of the name being shown as Jaswinder Singh wife of Harkirat Singh son of Darshan Singh).

That being so, the impugned order is set aside with the aforesaid amendment allowed. However the respondents even having had to

come to this Court on account of the mistake of the petitioner-defendant
no.2, the petitioners would pay costs of Rs.5000/- to respondent no.1.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 24, 2018.
D.K.

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No