

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-5709-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.3.2018**

Jaswant Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ram Sarup and Sons and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for respondents.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 12.8.2016, passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Nabha, (Annexure P-1).

A perusal of the said order shows that one witness of the plaintiff was examined. During the cross-examination, plaintiff made a request to re-examine the said witness which was allowed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Nabha. Thereafter, witness was partly examined.

I am of the view that Civil Court has got the vast powers to recall and re-examine any witness. Therefore, same cannot be questioned under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

Since, the main petition has been dismissed, the miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

26.3.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No