

Sr. No. 116
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.5264 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.08.2018

Deepak and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Pardeep Singh

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsy, Advocate,
for appellants.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

This is tenants' revision petition directed against the concurrent ejectment order passed by the authorities below on the ground of "bonafide personal necessity". Order passed by the Rent Controller on dated 31.03.2017 and which was affirmed by the Appellate Authority on 04.04.2018.

Counsel representing the petitioners/tenants at the very outset makes a statement that he would not be pressing the instant revision petition as the same has been rendered infructuous since vacant and actual physical possession of the demised premises has already been delivered to the landlord/respondent.

In the light of such statement made by counsel at the Bar, the instant revision petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

16.08.2018

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)

vandana

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No