

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR 5297-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.02.2018

Balwant Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Sukhbir Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.D.S.Sandhu, Advocate for the respondents

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 8.5.2014, passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Kapurthala, vide which, evidence of the plaintiff was closed by order.

I have heard learned counsel for both the parties and have also carefully gone through the file.

It comes out that the present suit was filed in the year 2009. The impugned order shows that the despite last opportunity and despite undertaking given by the plaintiff, the evidence was not concluded and ultimately, evidence was closed by order.

I am of the view that it has been recorded in the order that sufficient number of opportunities have been given.

In the circumstances, when the case has now already become nine years old and was already five years old when the application was

filed, there is no ground to grant further opportunity to the plaintiff to adduce his evidence.

Resultantly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

07.02.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No