

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 5298 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.08.2018**

Balbir Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs

Pritpal Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Pritam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.S. Pabla, Advocate for
Mr. Kamal Joshi, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This revision petition has been filed for setting aside the order dated 11.07.2016, vide which the lower Appellate Court has dismissed the application for grant of interim injunction by observing that any alienation during pendency of the appeal shall be hit by Doctrine of *lis pendens* under Section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act.

The dispute is between the mother and the son.

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly indicates that respondent No. 1 will make a statement before the Lower Appellate Court that he will not alienate the suit property during the pendency of

the appeal.

In view of the above statement of learned counsel for respondent No. 1, this revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the lower Appellate Court to decide the pending appeal in accordance with law.

Respondent No. 1 shall make necessary statement before the lower Appellate Court in the context of maintaining status quo regarding the alienation of the suit property during the pendency of the appeal. The Court shall make endeavour to decide the pending appeal at the earliest.

This revision petition is disposed of, accordingly.

30th August, 2018
shabha

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>