

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Civil Revision No.4709 of 2018

Date of decision: July 26, 2018

Mohinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Kanta Devi

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.

Present revision petition is directed against the order dated 12.07.2018, passed by Rent Controller, Pathankot, whereby while adjudicating application for assessment of provisional rent filed by the petitioner, he was directed to pay Rs.1,69,300/- as arrears of rent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has assailed the order. According to him, petitioner had taken the premises on rent in the year 1994 @ Rs.500/- per month, which was later on enhanced to Rs.1000/- per month and he had deposited the rent till 31.08.2012.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

It appears, respondent/landlord Kanta Devi filed application under Section 13 of East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act (Act III of 1949) for ejectment of petitioner from the shop in question, situated at Saili Kullian, District Pathankot. Tenancy is admitted. However, since the parties did not produce any document regarding rate of rent, therefore,

the Rent Controller has assessed the rent @ Rs.1000/- per month from 01.12.2006 and after calculating the amount, adding interest and costs and deducting the amount already deposited by the tenant, it directed petitioner to tender Rs.1,69,300/- as arrears of rent. I find no infirmity with the order passed. The revision petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

July 26, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No