

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

246

CR No.4753 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:09.08.2018

Sudarshan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Meena Arora

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Moushmi Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

The order under challenge has been passed by the learned Appellate Authority assessing mesne profit payable by the tenant, who has been ordered to be evicted.

No doubt on 01.03.2018, learned counsel for the respondent had offered to pay Rs.5,000/- per month in place of Rs.4,000/- assessed as mesne profit. However, learned counsel for the respondent is not present. Learned counsel for the petitioner-landlord has pointed out that the main appeal has been fixed for final disposal on 21.08.2018.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is considered appropriate to direct the Appellate Authority to decide the appeal positively on the next date of hearing. However, if due to any unforeseen circumstances, it is not possible to dispose of the appeal on the next date of hearing, the Appellate Authority must ensure that it is disposed of within a week thereafter.

Revision petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the above said judgment.

09.08.2018

sheetal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No