

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 107

CR-4560-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.12.2018

Rani Kaur

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Gagandeep Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition is directed against the order dated 13.04.2018, passed by the Additional District Judge, Sangrur (for short, the Trial Court), through which the respondent-husband has been directed to pay to the petitioner-wife ₹9,000/- per month as *ad interim maintenance* during the pendency of the divorce petition pending between the parties. One time litigation expenses @ ₹7,000/- have also been directed to be paid to the petitioner-wife.

Admittedly, the respondent-husband is a Class-IV employee under the Punjab Government. He had declared his gross salary to be ₹20,000/- out of which the petitioner-wife has been granted *ad interim maintenance* @ ₹9,000/- per month alongwith ₹7,000/- as one time litigation expenses.

No record was placed before the Trial Court by the petitioner-wife showing therein that the respondent-husband was earning more than what he had declared. In spite of several opportunities granted by this Court as well nothing was brought on record to show that the respondent-husband was drawing higher salary than what he had declared before the Trial Court.

In view of the above, no error is found in the order passed by the Trial Court through which ₹9,000/- per month has been awarded to the petitioner-wife as *ad interim maintenance*. Awarding of ₹7,000/- as one time litigation expenses to the petitioner-wife by the Trial Court is also found to be fair.

Dismissed.

19.12.2018
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No