

(103) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4448 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.08.2018

Ramesh Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

Shree Balaji Construction Company and
another

.... Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate for respondent No.1.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Pursuant to order dated 31.07.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner states that it has been verified that the petitioner has filed objection under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 and in view thereof, he does not press the present revision petition and would take his remedy in respect of the impugned order in the application under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 which has already been filed.

[2] Dismissed as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.08.2018

amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.