

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.4823 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.08.2018**

AMRIT PAL KAUR

.....Petitioner

Vs

KULPREET SINGH

....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:None.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner even after three pass overs.

Notice of motion was issued on 17.08.2016. Liberty was granted to the petitioner to serve the respondent through the counsel representing him before the trial Court.

None appeared on 27.07.2017, nor any process fee was filed. Notice could not be issued to the respondent for want of process fee. Petitioner was directed to furnish the process fee and fresh notice was ordered to be issued to the respondent for 28.09.2017.

On 28.09.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner appeared, but since process fee was not filed, therefore, case

was adjourned for furnishing process fee by the petitioner. In between, the case was further adjourned to 22.01.2018 and thereafter to 28.03.2018. However, needful was not done, rather none appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 28.03.2018. Direction was issued to deposit the process fee within one week and thereafter fresh notice was ordered to be issued to the respondent for 20.08.2018 i.e. for today.

As per office report, due to non-filing of the process fee, notice could not be issued to the respondent.

It appears that the petitioner has lost the track of representing her case by not filing the process fee for summoning the respondent.

In view of aforesaid, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

August 20, 2018

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No