

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR 4436-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.01.2018

Ramkaran

.....Petitioner

versus

Priyanka

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Manoj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Anshul, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 4.5.2017 (Annexure P1), passed by learned Additional District Judge, Kaithal, vide which, pending the disposal of the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the trial Court, while deciding application under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, awarded the maintenance pendente lite @ Rs.18,000/- per month to the respondent-wife.

I have heard learned counsel for both the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pressed the petition only on the quantum. It is contended that as per pay slip of the petitioner for the month of June 2017 (Annexure P5), he is getting net pay of Rs.37,503/-. His basic salary is Rs.61,300/-, out of which, a recovery of Rs.26,130/- is being made and total entitlements comes to Rs.51,689/-. From the said entitlements, further deductions of Rs.14,186/- is being made.

Considering the facts and circumstances and the net pay, the

interim maintenance is reduced from Rs.18,000/- per month to Rs.12,000/- per month. Remaining part of the order is maintained.

The present revision petition is accordingly partly allowed. However, the trial Court is directed to expedite the disposal of the petition and dispose of the same maximum within one year.

Litigation expenses deposited in terms of the order dated 23.8.2017 passed by this Court be released to the respondent.

25.01.2018

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No