

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4525 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.05.2018

Sumer Singh Saini

...Petitioner

Versus

Hindustan Machines and Tools Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M. K. Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent despite service.

KULDIP SINGH J. (Oral)

The present revision petition has been filed for setting aside the order dated 25.03.2014 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, vide which, in place of summoning record of the five co-workers, record of only one worker was allowed to be summoned on the ground that record is very voluminous.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have considered the case of the respondent, though there is no representation on its behalf.

Learned counsel for the petitioner pointed towards the previous order dated 13.04.2011, passed by the Labour Court, Ambala, vide which, the record of the five workmen was allowed to be summoned. It is argued that record was summoned to prove that similar situated co-workers were given light duties. Respondent had pleaded before the Labour Court that the

record is very voluminous and it will cause hardship to bring such a voluminous record of the five co-workers.

In the above circumstances, the impugned order is modified to the extent that the petitioner is allowed to inspect the record of the said five co-workers in the office of the respondent during working hours and he will be allowed to summon only those particular documents of the said five co-workers on which he wants to rely.

The present revision petition is disposed of.

Since the main revision is disposed of, civil miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

24.05.2018

Waseem Ansari

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No