

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4352-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 14.05.2018

Jaipal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sunita and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 15.5.2017, passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rewari, vide which it was held that plaintiff is liable to pay ad valorem Court fee.

Heard.

It comes out that plaintiff has filed a suit challenging the sale deed No. 5349 dated 26.10.2010 executed by his father Hari Singh son of Hardayal claiming that it was without legal necessity. Now father of the plaintiff has died and plaintiff has stepped into the shoes of his father.

It being so, when the plaintiff has stepped into the shoes of his father and is challenging the alienation made by his father, he is required to

pay the ad-valorem Court fee in terms of Section 7(iv) (c) of the Court Fees Act, 1870.

In view of above, no ground is made to interfere in the impugned order dated 15.5.2017, passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rewari.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.05.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No