

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.4619 of 2016
Date of Decision:16.03.2018**

Sohan Lal (deceased) through his LRs

...Petitioners

Versus

Darshan Lal

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Legal heirs of the plaintiff are in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned trial court, dismissing the application for modification/clarification of compromise deed dated 24.5.1996.

During the pendency of the suit, parties entered into a settlement and a written deed of compromise was filed in the court dated 24.5.1996. On the basis of the aforesaid deed of compromise, which was signed by the parties, the court disposed of the suit.

After a period of 16 years, an application has been filed, asserting that in the compromise deed certain portion of the land, which was not purchased by the respondent, has been allotted to him.

The compromise is a settlement between the parties arrived at with their mutual consent. The court has only passed the order based on the aforesaid settlement. Now at this stage when one party is asserting that deed of compromise has been correctly arrived at, whereas other party is contesting the stand taken by the opposite party, the court would not be in a

position to modify/clarify the aforesaid compromise.

In view thereof, there is no scope for interference in the impugned order.

Civil Revision petition is Dismissed.

16.03.2018
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No