

**Sr. No. 115
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4144 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.07.2018

Balwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Mewa Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Challenge in the instant petition is to the order dated 01.05.2018 passed by the learned Rent Controller and in terms of which an application that had been preferred by the petitioner herein under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleadment in an ejectment petition initiated by Mewa Singh has been declined.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

Apparently the basis of filing an application for impleadment was that the petitioner herein claims himself to be the owner of the premises in question.

The ejectment had been sought qua Hardev Singh and Swaran Singh who were stated to be the tenants in the premises in question.

On a specific query having been put to counsel, it has been conceded that Hardev Singh and Swaran Singh are presently in occupation and possession of the demised premises and have

not been inducted by the present petitioner who otherwise claims to be the owner thereof.

In response to yet another query as to whether any proceedings have been initiated till date by the present petitioner in the capacity of being owner (as he claims so), the response has been evasive.

The present revision petition as such is disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law as regards vindication of his rights as per his alleged claim of being owner of the premises in question.

Disposed of.

04.07.2018	(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
vandana	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No