

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4074 of 2018

Date of Decision: 02.07.2018

Amrik Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

Sahil and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

1. Only grievance of the petitioner is that the case was listed for arguments on 31.05.2018 and it was argued by the parties and the order was kept reserved.

2. Perusal of order dated 31.05.2018 would show that the arguments on stay application were not advanced and a date was prayed for. Adjournment was allowed and the case was adjourned to 05.07.2018 for consideration on stay application.

3. Petitioner has specifically pleaded in para no.7 of the petition that the matter was argued and the order was kept reserved by the trial Court.

4. Since the case is fixed for 05.07.2018 for

consideration on stay application, I would not like to appreciate the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage, however, trial Court can be directed to decide the stay application on 05.07.2018 in accordance with law.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to argue the stay application positively on the adjourned date.

6. The trial Court shall make every endeavor to decide the stay application on the date fixed i.e. 05.07.2018 or within 10 days thereafter, in case learned counsel for the respondents is found to be in some personal difficulty.

7. Disposed of.

02.07.2018  
*Jyoti Yadav*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No