

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4069 of 2018

Date of Decision: 14.12.2018

Gian Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Budh Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. M.S. Sachdev, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Even after it was submitted to this Court on 27.07.2018 that the petitioner and his partners may be able to pay the decretal amount by way of leasing the property in question before the next date of hearing (at that stage) before the executing Court, and therefore the matter may be adjourned, four and half months later also, it is not denied that no such lease agreement also even been entered into so far.

That being so and in fact the petition having been adjourned from time to time on request of learned counsel for the petitioner, I see absolutely no reason to interfere with the impugned order.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed.

December 14, 2018
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
Yes