

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4059 of 2018

Date of Decision: 02.07.2018

Jiwan Kumar

....Petitioner

Vs

Prem Ram and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. H.R. Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. Evidently, land is joint of the parties/co-sharers.
2. Even if the petitioner has enhanced his entitlement by virtue of purchase of additional land vide two sale deeds from other co-sharers, the same would be subject to partition between all the co-sharers.
3. In the land acquired by the State, all the co-sharers would be having due share. Acquaintance roll was prepared by the Circle Revenue Officer, Patiala in which entitlement qua all the co-sharers has been shown.
4. Even if the petitioner has purchased specific khasra number out of joint land, the same would be purchase of share as per ratio laid down in **Bhartu Ram vs Ram Swaroop, 1981**

PLJ 204.

5. The Additional District Judge, Patiala vide the impugned order has lawfully appreciated the acquaintance roll prepared by Circle Revenue Officer thereby holding that all the co-sharers are entitled for compensation of the acquired land as per their share.

6. No exception can be made to the findings recorded by the trial Court.

7. This revision petition is found to be totally devoid of merits and the same is accordingly, dismissed.

02.07.2018
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No