

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

152

Civil Revision No.4039 of 2018
Date of Decision: June 12th, 2018

The Dhuri Truck Operators Association Dhuri and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The Truck Operators Union, Dhuri and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhanot, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court impugning the order dated 30.05.2018 (Annexure P-9) and the order dated 05.06.2018 (Annexure P-11).

It appears that an application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC was filed along with the suit, in which *ex parte* stay has been granted with regard to the operation of the bank account, against which an appeal has been preferred by the petitioners which appeal has been entertained by order dated 05.06.2018 by the learned District Judge, Sangrur, during the vacations and has issued notice to the opposite side, not only in the appeal but also in the application for vacation of the *ex parte* interim order for 2-07-2018.

This Court does not find any illegality in the said order which would call for interference by this Court in a revision petition, as has been preferred by the petitioner.

The present petition is devoid of merit. The same is, therefore, dismissed.

June 12th, 2018

Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No