

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4034 of 2018

Date of Decision: 02.07.2018

Union Bank of India and another

....Petitioners

Vs

Om Parkash Khurana

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Shailender Kashyap, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that even though the prayer under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC was rejected by this Court in Civil Revision No.9060 of 2017 on 24.05.2018, no arguments were made by the plaintiff on the injunction application nor replication to the written statement was filed.

2. The case was adjourned to 09.07.2018 for filing replication and for framing of issues as well as for arguments on injunction application but the trial Court has granted injunction even without arguments on the injunction application.

3. Since the case itself is fixed for 09.07.2018 before the trial Court for filing replication and for arguments on

injunction application, therefore, it would be appropriate to direct the trial Court to decide the application for injunction on 09.07.2018 in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

4. Disposed of.

02.07.2018
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No