

120
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2018.06.06 16:36
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-4002-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 6.6.2018

Harsh Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Baldev Raj

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate,
for petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The grouse of petitioners is that an eviction order has been passed by Rent Controller, Kaithal, on 8.2.2018 (Annexure-P-1). They preferred an appeal on 6.3.2018. Case was fixed for 4.4.2018. They have also filed an application before the learned Appellate Authority, Kaithal, for staying the operation of order dated 8.2.2018 (Annexure-P-1), passed by Rent Controller, Kaithal. However, no order has been passed thereon. In the meanwhile, execution was filed, in which possession is sought to be taken from them. Petitioners also claim that they had filed objection petition before Executing Court for staying execution on the ground that appeal is pending, but same has been dismissed.

Petitioners are relegated to file appropriate application for early hearing before learned Appellate Authority/Vacation Judge, Kaithal, and let learned Appellate Authority/Vacation Judge, Kaithal, pass appropriate orders immediately thereon in accordance with law.

Disposed of. Since main case has been disposed of, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

Let copy of order be given to learned counsel for petitioners under signatures of Bench Secretary of this Court.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

6.6.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No