

CR-3986-2018

Date of Decision: 02.07.2018

Vineet

.....Petitioner

versus

Suniti

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**Present:** Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed against the order dated 23.02.2018 passed by the District Judge, Jhajjar through which the petitioner was directed to pay an amount of Rs.10,000/- per month as maintenance *pendente lite* to the respondent from the date of application along with one time litigation expenses of Rs.5,500/-.

Admittedly, the petitioner is an MBA and was working as Sr. Practitioner-CRM Operator with Concentrix Daksh Services India Pvt. Ltd. and while working as such, was receiving monthly salary of Rs.37,499/-. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from the petitioner has fairly submitted that the petitioner is also owner in possession of a small tract of agricultural land and is receiving income from the same.

The petitioner claims is that he is presently unemployed. However, nothing is placed on the record to show whether presently he is unemployed or employed.

In the peculiar facts of the present case, this Court is of the view that the award of Rs.10,000/- as maintenance *pendente lite* to the

respondent-wife is not on the higher side, especially, when such amount is close to the minimum wages required to be paid to skilled workers. Rather, litigation expenses of Rs.5,500/- so awarded, are found to be on the lower side. However, without further commenting on the same, the present petition is dismissed.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

02.07.2018

Neha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No