

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

125

CR No.4006 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:30.05.2018

Paro Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Sita Ram

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Plaintiff-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned trial Court dismissing an application for appointment of Local Commissioner to elucidate the matter in dispute and for ascertaining market value of the property.

Learned trial Court has noticed that the plaintiff herself admit that she has been dispossessed and she is not in possession of the property at present.

Still further, the order under challenge is not revisable, in view of the judgments passed by two Division Benches reported as “**Harvinder Kaur and another vs. Godha Ram and another**” 1979 PLJ 562 and “**Pritam Singh and another v. Sunder Lal and others**” 1990 (2) PLR 191.

Revision petition is dismissed.

30.05.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No