

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.3983 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.12.2018

Abhijat Paliwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Asmita Dwivedi Paliwal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Anand Chhibber, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Nanda, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

CM No.26670-CII of 2018

Allowed as prayed for.

Main case

[1]. Again an effort has been made by the good offices of Mr. Anand Chhibber, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Atul Nanda, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, Advocate for the respondent. On the last date of hearing, it was recorded that at one point of time, there was a family settlement which was reduced into writing on

22.07.2018 with the intervention of Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Retd.) G.S. Singhvi who was acting as an administrator in pursuance of order passed by National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi dated 07.02.2018.

[2]. In continuation of order dated 20.11.2018, both the parties have received their instructions.

[3]. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would pay an amount of Rs.7 crores to the respondent as permanent alimony towards full and final settlement of her all claims arising out of matrimonial dispute. Petitioner would also transfer the company known as Apramey Infrastructures Private Limited to the respondent with all assets, but without liabilities and obligations. The divorce petition is already pending before the Family Court at Gurugram.

[4]. In view of above statement, parties would file a joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act for a decree of divorce on mutual terms on the date fixed.

[5]. Mr. Atul Nanda, Sr. Advocate duly assisted by Mr. Sukhwinder Singh on instructions from his client who is also present in the Court submitted that his client would render all possible assistance in arriving at amicable settlement thereby settling all pending disputes between the parties and would abide by the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived at

between the parties.

[6]. Admittedly, an amount of Rs.60 lacs has already been paid by the petitioner and the same has been duly acknowledged by learned Senior Counsel on instructions from the respondent.

[7]. In view of aforesaid understanding between the parties, Family Court would proceed further in terms of compromise and would pass consequential orders preferably on the date fixed or at the earliest.

[8]. In view of aforesaid undertaking, nothing survives in this revision petition. The present revision petition is accordingly disposed of. Liberty is granted to the parties that in case, compromise is not materialized as per agreed terms, they may move appropriate application for revival of the present revision petition.

05.12.2018

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**