

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3927 of 2018

Date of Decision: 02.07.2018

Malkiat Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Vs

Nacchatar Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. Admittedly, both the parties are co-sharers in the suit property.
2. No injunction can be granted against co-sharers unless and until plaintiff is proved to be in exclusive possession of the suit property.
3. Even if a co-sharer is not in possession of the joint property, he would be deemed to be in joint possession of the same till the same is partitioned by metes and bounds.
4. The impugned order passed by Additional District Judge, Sirsa is found to have been passed on correct parameters of concept of co-sharership.
5. There is no illegality in the impugned order passed by

the trial Court.

6. This revision petition is found to be totally devoid of merits and the same is accordingly, dismissed.

02.07.2018
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No