

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

225

**CR No.4313 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.8.2018**

HARDIAL SINGH

.petitioner

Versus

SIMRANJIT KAUR

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.R.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J (oral)

A bare perusal of the impugned order dated 3.5.2016 passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Sr.Divn.), Jagraon would show that the same is non speaking on material particulars of the case. The prayer for amendment of the written statement has been discarded without discussing the nature of amendment and the grounds for dismissal of the same. The only ground on which the amendment has been declined is that the application has been filed just to delay the proceedings.

In my considered opinion, the impugned order is totally non speaking. It would be just and appropriate to direct

the Additional Civil Judge (Sr.Divn.), Jagraon to pass a fresh speaking order in accordance with law without being influenced by any observation made by this Court here in above.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 29, 2018
anita

Whether reasoned/speaking **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**