

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR 4302-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.04.2018

**Om Parkash through his LR Suresh Kumar through his LRs Shanti
Devi and others**

.....Petitioners

versus

Shakuntla Devi

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. A. K. Saini, DAG Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

Petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 7.1.2015, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Jind, vide which, appeal was allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn on account of compromise effected between the parties. However, only the Court fee affixed on the appeal was ordered to be refunded but the prayer to refund Court fee affixed on the Civil Suit was declined.

I am of the view that since the matter was settled by way of compromise with the intervention of the Panchayat, therefore, the Court fee affixed on the Civil Suit should also be refunded.

Accordingly, the impugned order is modified that the Court fee paid on the Civil Suit be also refunded.

Revision is allowed accordingly.

19.04.2018

gk

(Kuldeep Singh)

Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No