

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3858 of 2018

Date of Decision: 01.06.2018

Umed Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Naresh Kumar Soni

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Ajay Vijarania, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J.(Oral)

Through the instant petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, challenge has been laid to order dated 17.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) of the trial Court, rejecting the application of the petitioner-defendant to produce the original *bahi* before filing written statement by him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia contends* that only some rough page was supplied to the petitioner and not the relevant page of the *bahi*. Therefore, the finding of the trial Court that copy of the relevant portion of *bahi* has already been supplied to the petitioner, is erroneous.

Having given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find any merit in this revision in view of the fact that the impugned order is perfectly legal, passed by the trial Court after perusing the entire record that copy of the relevant portion of the *bahi* was produced at the time of filing of the suit and the same has already been supplied to the petitioner.

In view of above, the impugned order does not warrant any interference.

Dismissed.

01.06.2018

monika

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*