

VIKAS CHANDER
2018.12.17 11:07

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR no.3833 of 2018

Date of Decision: 13.12.2018

RICO Auto Workers Union 69 Kilometer and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

M/s RICO Auto Industries Ltd.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. B. S. Rana, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Praduman Yadav, Advocate,
for the caveator/respondent.

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)

On December 10, 2018, the following order had been passed:-

“None having appeared on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner in the forenoon session, Mr. Rana, learned Senior Counsel for the caveator/respondent, reiterates what he had submitted before lunch, to the effect that a compromise having been reached between the petitioner Union and the respondent Management, the impugned order in fact loses meaning, as the factory in any case is to be shifted partly to Gurugram and partly to another part of the country, from Dharuhera.

After the aforesaid order was passed, Mr. Pankaj Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner, has come present and does not deny the factum of the compromise.

Consequently, adjourned to 13.12.2018.

To be shown in the urgent cause list.”

Today again learned counsel for the petitioner is not present.

Obviously this Court is to therefore infer that with him also

having admitted to the factum of the compromise having taken place, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Consequently, it is disposed of as such.

13.12.2018
vcgarg/dinesh

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No