

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4244-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 23.4.2018

Om Parkash

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sunita Rani and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for the respondents.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated at bar that main case has already been decided and against the same, appeal has been filed. In view of the same, revision petition against the interim orders dated 15.1.2016 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Additional District Judge, Chandigarh and the order dated 5.12.2014 (Annexure P-2), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Chandigarh has become infructuous.

As such, present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Since the main petition has been disposed of as infructuous, the miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

23.4.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No