

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3864 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 2.2.2018

Babu Ram and others Petitioners
Versus
Darshana Makkar and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.S. Barnala, Advocate, for,
Mr. P.S. Sullar, Advocate, for petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in present revision is order dated 2.3.2017 (Annexure-P-1), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kharar, vide which application filed by respondent No. 1 for permission to sue as indigent person was allowed.

Heard.

It comes out that the lower Court has framed the issues. Both the parties were allowed to lead evidence and after going through the evidence and report of Collector, the impugned order was passed. I am of the view that sufficient reasons have been mentioned in the impugned order which cannot be called illegal or perverse. No ground to interfere in the impugned order. In view of above, instant petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

2.2.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No