

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.3812 of 2018

Date of decision:- 01.06.2018

Roop Chand Sharma

...Appellant

Versus

Rohit Sharma @ Titu

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Ms.Monisha Lamba, Advocate, for the appellants.

RITU BAHRI J. (Oral)

Petitioner has come up in revision for setting aside the order dated 17.05.2018, whereby his application under Section 10 of Haryana Urban (Control of Rent & Eviction) Act for directing the respondent to restore the possession after opening lock of the rented premises and to restore the electricity supply, has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the order dated 17.05.2018 where, it has been stated by the counsel for the respondent that there was an outstanding of Rs.7,00,000/- approximately against the petitioner plus Rs.3,17,779/- on account of electricity consumption charges. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is tenant in the shop of respondent on a monthly rent of Rs.3,000/- for last 11 years is paying rent to the respondent regularly and no rent is due towards the respondent and respondent has got disconnected the electricity supply in the night of 20.04.2018 and also put lock upon the shop in question and dispossessed the petitioner illegally. She further submits that this case has been fixed before the

Court of learned Rent Controller on 09.07.2018 after framing the issues.

In view of the above, the present revision is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to raise this plea before the trial Court on 09.07.2018 when the matter shall come up for hearing.

01.06.2018

anil

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE