

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3803 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.10.2018

Ludhiana Improvement Trust Ludhiana through its Chairman and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Patti Mansoor Sunet and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Manbir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate,
for caveator/respondents no. 1 and 2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that even looking at the number of witnesses to be examined by both sides before the trial Court, the trial can be concluded with a period of one year.

Consequently, this Court already having expressed its opinion that it would not interfere in the impugned order, in view of what is held therein, to the effect that no compensation was paid to the respondents-plaintiffs and therefore, they would be entitled to interim injunction in their favour, this petition is dismissed, with the trial Court however directed to ensure that the trial is finally concluded within one year from today.

It is made clear that no observation made either in this order or the previous order, would actually affect the merits of the case, even with regard to what this Court has commented on what is contained in the impugned order, that

only being in the context of the interim injunction, with the matter naturally to be decided by the trial Court wholly on the basis of evidence led before it.

October 11, 2018
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No