

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CR No.378 of 2018
Date of Decision:19.01.2018

Jaskaran Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Jasminder Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Tribhuwan Nath Sarup, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Plaintiff has filed this revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against order dated 06.01.2018 dismissing the application for permission to lead additional evidence so as to produce on file certified copies of the jamabandis for the years 1967-1968, 1972-1973, 1977-1978, 1981-1982, 1986-1987, 1991-1992, 1996-1997, 2001-2002, 2006-2007 and 2011-2012.

The Court has dismissed the application after noticing the fact that these jamabandis have already been filed by the defendants and duly exhibited.

Apprehension of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that since the copies of jamabandis were produced by the defendants, therefore, it would not be read as any evidence of the plaintiff.

In the considered opinion of this Court, the apprehension is wholly misconceived. Once a document is part of the evidence whether produced by the plaintiff or by the defendant, the Court has to evaluate that

evidence and arrive at a decision. If both the parties are relying upon the same set of documents, it is not necessary that both the parties must produce copies of the aforesaid documents in their evidence.

In view thereof, the revision petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

19.01.2018

sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No