

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 3753 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.5.2018**

Canara Bank

... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Vijay Kumar Satish Kumar HUF Firm and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Arvind Rajotia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a direction to the trial court, that is seized of the Civil Suit No. 36/2015 (CIS No. 318/15), [M/s Vijay Kumar Vs. M/s Sidhi Vinayak etc.], to consider and decide the application, moved by the petitioner-Bank, seeking release of the attached property, pursuant to an order dated 6.7.2015 passed by the trial court.

The limited grievance that the petitioner has is; that the application, referred to above, was moved by the petitioner in September, 2017, and nearly a year has gone by, but it has not made any tangible progress. Further, owing to the order of attachment, dated 6.7.2015, the Bank is facing multiple complications in different proceedings.

CR No. 3753 of 2018

If that be so, the petitioner-Bank ought to have moved the court, that is in seisin of the dispute, for immediate disposal of the said application, in the first instance. This Court is sanguine that if any such application or prayer is made by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and dealt with by the trial court without any further loss of time.

The revision petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms.

31.5.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO