

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3632 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 28, 2018**

Jagdish

..... Petitioner

Versus

Daya Nand

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 27.04.2018 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Kaithal, vide which the evidence of the defendant-petitioner was closed by orders.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the defendant-petitioner has not disputed the fact that the defendant-petitioner was granted seven effective opportunities, out of which last opportunity was granted on two occasions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks one more opportunity to conclude his evidence.

I am of the view that there has to be some end to the litigation. In the present case, the present petitioner was granted seven effective opportunities to conclude his evidence, out of which two were last opportunities. On the first last opportunity, the defendant-petitioner should

have become serious to adduce his entire evidence. Since, the Court has granted him one more last opportunity, therefore, there is no ground to grant any further opportunity to conclude his evidence. No ground to interfere in the impugned order.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 28, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No