

233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3614 of 2018

Date of Decision: 31.05.2018

Vikram

....Petitioner

Vs

Vishal Kumar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. M.S. Virdi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Grievance of the petitioner is that exparte interim order dated 07.04.2018 passed in the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC has not reached to its logical culmination under Order 39 Rule 3-A CPC within the time prescribed. Now the case stands adjourned to 05.07.2018 for filing replication and consideration on the application under Order 39 Rule 4 CPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by virtue of exparte interim order, heaps of wheat crop are still lying in the field and there is every likelihood of the crop being damaged.

After hearing learned counsel for both the sides, it is evident that the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC has not been decided within the time prescribed under Order 39 Rule 3-A CPC.

This revision petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Judge, Abohar to make an appropriate application for enlisting of the case before some appropriate Court during vacations. In case District Judge, Abohar is satisfied, the case may be listed before the appropriate Court and that Court would proceed to decide the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC forthwith.

31.05.2018
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No