

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-3655-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 7.2.2018**

Sanjiv Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Poonam Devi

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.P.Singh Luna, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 31.3.2017, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Hoshiarpur vide which in an application filed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, interim maintenance @ ₹ 15,000/- per month was granted to respondent-wife along with ₹ 11,000/- as litigation expenses.

It comes out that petitioner-husband is working as Head Constable in BSF and his gross salary is ₹ 46075/- as on January 2017. It also comes out that respondent-wife was suffering from 'cancer' and her son is studying in Mount Carmel School, Kakkon, Hoshiarpur. She has to bear the education expenses of the minor son and also get her treated, in addition to maintain both of them.

On the other hand, petitioner-husband is working in BSF where, in addition to salary, certain other facilities are also available in the shape of discounts on purchases and free meal.

Learned counsel for respondent has stated that ₹ 10,000/- were paid by petitioner but these were paid till January 2017, thereafter, the same were stopped.

Considering the income and facilities allowed to a BSF personnel and the needs of wife & her son, I am of the view that interim maintenance of ₹ 15,000/- allowed to wife cannot be called excessive.

In view of the above, I do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned order dated 31.3.2017, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Hoshiarpur.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.2.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	yes
Whether Reportable:	No