

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3648 of 2017

Date of Decision.20.02.2018

Sunita Rani

.....Petitioner

Vs

Sukhjinder Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Impinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-:-

AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the plaint in which the interim order was passed and against which the revision petition was directed, has itself been rejected, therefore, no cause of action survives for consideration.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, nothing survives for consideration in the revision and the same is dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

February 20, 2018

Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes

Whether reportable No