

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

248

CR No. 3580 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.9.2018

VIPIN

.....petitioner

Versus

POOJA RANI

.....respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Vikram Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(oral)

Vide order dated 4.8.2017, notice of motion was issued subject to deposit of ₹ 20,000/- before the Registry of this Court towards litigation expenses. Thereafter, case was adjourned for 2.11.2017 and 16.2.2018. On 31.5.2018, the case was further adjourned at the instance of the petitioner to do the needful in the context of depositing the amount towards litigation expenses. Vide order dated 31.8.2018, the case was adjourned for today for compliance of the order dated 31.5.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite his repeated efforts, the petitioner is not responding. Faced with this situation, this Court has no option but to dismiss this revision petition for non prosecution.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

September 18, 2018

anita

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether reasoned/Speaking
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**