

CR-3908-2016

Date of decision : 16.08.2018

Amarjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Harinderjit Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. V.M.Gupta, Advocate
for respondents no.1, 2 & 4.**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)**

None for the petitioner, which is the same position as it was on the last date of hearing.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents no.1, 2 & 4 submits that the petitioner in fact having vacated the premises in dispute, this petition itself would have been rendered infructuous, she having challenged the order dated 16.04.2016, by which she in fact had been given the right to use the court yard but had been directed to remove certain articles lying there.

There being no representation on behalf of the petitioner for the second time in succession, dismissed in default.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 16, 2018.

D.K.

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No