

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3537 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.11.2018

Punjabi University, Patiala and another

...Petitioners

versus

Deepak Kumar Jindal and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA

Present: Mr. G.S. Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners would be satisfied if the revision petition is disposed of by directing the learned Arbitrator to provide opportunity to the petitioners to lead evidence in support of the validity of clause 25(viii) of the Arbitration Agreement as also as to whether the same was on the basis of mutual agreement between the parties or was subsequently interpolated by the petitioners and to decide the matter in respect thereto in terms of order of this Court dated 17.02.2016, in FAO No.604 of 2012.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents states that he has no objection to the aforementioned course of action proposed by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. In the circumstances, the revision petition is disposed of by requesting the learned Arbitrator to grant opportunity as deemed appropriate to the parties for leading evidence in terms of order dated 17.02.2016, passed by this Court in FAO No.604 of 2012, with regard to the validity of clause 25(viii) of the Arbitration Agreement and to take a decision in respect thereto, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. Revision petition disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

20.11.2018
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No