

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 349 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 19.1.2018

Nawal Kumar Sood alias Naval Sood

..... Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Bedi, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that, vide impugned order dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure-P-3), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurdaspur, evidence of petitioner-defendant No. 6 was closed by orders. It is stated that earlier the evidence of defendant No. 1 was going on and petitioner-defendant No. 6 misunderstood that defendant No. 1 is to first lead his evidence and thereafter, he was to lead his evidence.

Perusal of impugned order shows that the case was fixed for evidence of defendants.

The learned counsel for petitioner-defendant No. 6 seeks only one last opportunity to complete his evidence.

In view of the matter, without issuing notice to opposite party and without going into the merits of case, impugned order is modified to the

CR-349-2018 (O/M)

extent that petitioner-defendant No. 6 is granted one last opportunity to lead his evidence, subject to payment of Rs. 5,000/- as costs, payable to plaintiff. Petitioner-defendant No. 6 will produce his witnesses at his own risk and responsibility, for which he will be given two weeks' time. No further adjournment shall be granted.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.1.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No