

CM-11226-CII-2018 in/and
CR No. 3462 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 30.5.2018

..... Petitioners

Versus

..... Respondents

Present:- Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate,
for applicants-petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-11226-CII-2018

Documents (Annexure-P-4 to Annexure-P-6) are taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

An ejectment order dated 27.7.2015 (Annexure-P-4) was passed by learned Rent Controller, Patiala, against respondents Sukhdev and Pritpal Singh. Petitioners were respondents No. 5 to 10 and were proforma respondents in said petition. The order was upheld in appeal by Appellate Authority, Patiala, vide order dated 22.4.2016 (Annexure-P-5). Objections have been filed by respondents No. 5 to 10 to the effect that under the garb of decree for two shops, possession of their shop is sought to be taken. Therefore, prayer for issuance of commission for local investigation has been made.

CR No. 3462 of 2018 (O/M)

In these circumstances, revision is disposed of with a direction to Executing Court to ensure that while executing decree, only possession of two shops which is mentioned in decree are delivered to decreeholders and not any other property. Since main case has been disposed of, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

30.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No