

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3452 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 22, 2018

Rajinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar Naphray and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The short grouse of the petitioner is that he has filed a rent appeal, which is pending before the Appellate Authority, Ludhiana. The appeal was filed on 19.04.2018, in which notice was issued and the respondent is putting in appearance. The case is now fixed for 28.05.2018. In the meanwhile, the opposite party, who is decree holder, filed execution, in which warrants of possession have been issued. The short prayer is that the appellate Court may be directed to decide the application for grant of interim injunction.

A perusal of interim order shows that the question of granting or refusing the interim stay was not considered. Let the petitioner appear before the appellate Court and make appropriate prayer and thereafter, if any adverse order is passed, he can always come to this Court.

As such, the present petition is disposed of. Since, the main petition has been disposed of, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 22, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

No

Whether Reportable:

No

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**