

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3451 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.05.2018

Hans Raj (since deceased) through LRs

....Petitioner

Vs

Gobind Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. In a decree passed in a suit for specific performance with alternative relief of possession, plea of only residential house cannot be taken in view of law laid down in **Bikram Singh Vs. Surjit Singh and others, 2004(4) RCR (Civil) 422, Mahender Kumar Vs. Mangal Singh, 2013(4) RCR (Civil) 342, and Sheela Rani Vs. Punjab and Sind Bank Ltd., 1994(1) PLR 583.**

2. Perusal of the record would show that no substantial objection was raised by the petitioner in execution. The decree which is sought to be executed has already attained finality.

3. No interference is called for in the impugned order passed by the trial Court.

4. This revision petition is accordingly, dismissed.

23.05.2018
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No