

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3450 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 25, 2018**

Balwinder Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

Gurdev Singh (since deceased) through LRs and another .. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nagar Singh and Mr. J.S. Dappar, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Jain, Advocate for the Caveator-respondent No.1.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-10597-CII-2018

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Main Case

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 27.07.2017 (Annexure P-4), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Dera Bassi, vide which the petitioner was proceeded against ex parte in the execution proceedings and also impugned the order 17.04.2018 (Annexure P-7) passed by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Dera Bassi, vide which his application for setting aside the ex parte order was dismissed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-J.D. states that the petitioner-J.D. wants to join the execution proceedings.

I am of the view that whatever may be the reason, the J.D.-petitioner can always join the execution proceedings, even though, on the earlier occasion, he had failed to appear.

Hence, both the impugned orders are set aside and the petitioner-J.D. is allowed to join the execution proceedings from the present stage.

As such, the present revision petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 25, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No