

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3446 of 2018

Date of Decision: 23.05.2018

Raj Kumar

....Petitioner

Vs

Punjab National Bank and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. Prayer for impleading Grievance Redressal Officer as necessary party in a suit for recovery filed by Punjab National Bank against the petitioner has been dismissed by the trial Court on the ground that relief to the extent of Rs.76,720/- has already been given to the defendants under Debt Waiver Scheme of 2008.

2. If the defendants are still aggrieved by any inaction on the part of the authorities, they can produce the summoned record from the concerned quarter. The impleadment of Grievance Redressal Officer has been held to be not feasible in view of the status of the plaintiff being of *dominus litus*.

3. In my considered opinion, the observations made by

the trial Court in dismissing the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC are perfectly legal.

4. If the petitioner is aggrieved by any calculation or inaction on the part of the authorities or the Bank, petitioner would be well within his right to lead evidence by summoning the record and witnesses from the authority in his evidence.

5. No illegality is found in the impugned order passed by the trial Court.

6. This revision petition is accordingly, dismissed.

23.05.2018
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No