

I am of the view that case is now stated to be mature and is fixed for arguments. At the initial stage, plaintiff-petitioner did not file an application for discovery and inspection, nor any interrogatories were filed. Plaintiff-petitioner led her evidence. Thereafter, defendants-respondents

CR No. 342 of 2018 (O/M)

also led their respective evidence, wherein plaintiff could ask defendants-respondents to file their bank accounts, which was not done. Now, plaintiff-petitioner wants defendants-respondents to produce bank statements after both the parties led their respective evidence. Plaintiff-petitioner wants to fill in the lacunae. She filed the application without mentioning the sections, under which it is filed to get the said records produced. Infact, she wants to lead additional evidence and wants to discover some documents. As such, application was rightly dismissed. There is no illegality or infirmity in impugned order. Therefore, instant revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.1.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No